

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/564,442	01/12/2006	Katsumi Hasegawa	36-007-TN	7419
23400 7590 10/04/2007 POSZ LAW GROUP, PLC 12040 SOUTH LAKES DRIVE			EXAMINER	
			ELEY, TIMOTHY V	
SUITE 101 RESTON, VA 20191			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
•			3724	
,				
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			10/04/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

•			
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/564,442	HASEGAWA, KATSUMI	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Timothy V. Eley	3724	
The MAILING DATE of this communication Period for Reply	appears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RE WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFF after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period for reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mearned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNI R 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a riod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MOI atute, cause the application to become A	CATION. reply be timely filed NTHS from the mailing date of this communication. BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 0!	9 August 2007.		
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ T	This action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for allo	·	•	
closed in accordance with the practice unde	er <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.E	D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.	
Disposition of Claims			
4)	drawn from consideration.		
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the Exam 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) a Applicant may not request that any objection to to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the contact of the contact	accepted or b) objected to the drawing(s) be held in abeyar rection is required if the drawing	nce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). (s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fore a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority docume 2. Certified copies of the priority docume 3. Copies of the certified copies of the p application from the International Bure * See the attached detailed Office action for a least	ents have been received. ents have been received in A priority documents have been reau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	application No received in this National Stage	
Attachment(s)			
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 1/12/06,3/28/06,7/11/06.	Paper No(s	Summary (PTO-413) s)/Mail Date nformal Patent Application 	

Application/Control Number: 10/564,442 Page 2

Art Unit: 3724

DETAILED ACTION

Drawings

The drawings are objected to because it appears that the numeral 1. "21" to the left of Fig. 3(d) should be --12--. Corrected drawing sheets in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d) are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. Any amended replacement drawing sheet should include all of the figures appearing on the immediate prior version of the sheet, even if only one figure is being amended. The figure or figure number of an amended drawing should not be labeled as "amended." If a drawing figure is to be canceled, the appropriate figure must be removed from the replacement sheet, and where necessary, the remaining figures must be renumbered and appropriate changes made to the brief description of the several views of the drawings for consistency. Additional replacement sheets may be necessary to show the renumbering of the remaining figures. Each drawing sheet submitted after the filing date of an application must be labeled in the top margin as either "Replacement Sheet" or "New Sheet" pursuant to 37 CFR 1.121(d). If the changes are not accepted by the examiner, the applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

Specification

2. Applicant is reminded of the proper language and format for an abstract of the disclosure.

Application/Control Number: 10/564,442

Art Unit: 3724

The abstract should be in narrative form and generally limited to a single paragraph on a separate sheet within the range of 50 to 150 words. It is important that the abstract not exceed 150 words in length since the space provided for the abstract on the computer tape used by the printer is limited. The form and legal phraseology often used in patent claims, such as "means" and "said," should be avoided. The abstract should describe the disclosure sufficiently to assist readers in deciding whether there is a need for consulting the full patent text for details.

Page 3

The language should be clear and concise and should not repeat information given in the title. It should avoid using phrases which can be implied, such as, "The disclosure concerns," "The disclosure defined by this invention," "The disclosure describes," etc.

- 3. The abstract of the disclosure is objected to because it is more than 150 words. Removing the numerals would shorten the abstract somewhat. Correction is required. See MPEP § 608.01(b).
- 4. The disclosure is objected to because of the following informalities:
 - "(e)ach . . . ring 10"(page 6, lines 20-23) is awkwardly worded.

 Applicant should clearly state that the forefinger, middle finger, ring finger, and the pinky finger, are passed through the finger ring 10. The use of "or" renders this phrase awkwardly worded.
 - "does" (page 17, line 7) is misspelled.
 Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

5. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Application/Control Number: 10/564,442

Art Unit: 3724

6. Claims 1-16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Page 4

- "the finger supports" (claim 1, line 19; claim 14, line 19) lacks proper antecedent basis since it was not properly earlier referred to.
- "the handle comprising . . . blade"(claim 1, lines 10-12; claim 14, lines 10-12) is vague, indefinite, and awkwardly and confusingly worded. It would appear from this phrase that there is a single finger support which is secured to two blades simultaneously.
- "a first section . . . section" (claim 1, lines 24-28) is awkwardly worded.
- "a third . . . plane" (claim 15, line 4) is vague and indefinite, since first and second planes were never previously recited.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

7. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 8. Claims 1-8, and 14-16, as far as they are understood, are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by the Japanese (54-45483).

Application/Control Number: 10/564,442

Art Unit: 3724

• The Japanese reference discloses a handle for a pair of scissors having a first blade and a second blade that are pivotally joined together at a center portion, as recited by applicant. Notice covers(5,5') which allow for exposure of the finger supports at 4 and 4'. See figure 1.

Page 5

Regarding claims 14 and 15, as broadly recited by applicant, an inner end of the joint portion of first blade(1') includes a narrowing recess.

Allowable Subject Matter

9. Claims 9-13 would be allowable if rewritten to overcome the rejection(s) under 35 U.S.C. 112, 2nd paragraph, set forth in this Office action and to include all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Conclusion

- 10. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.
- 11. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Timothy V. Eley whose telephone number is 571-272-4506. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Boyer D. Ashley can be reached on 571-272-4502. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/564,442 Page 6

Art Unit: 3724

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Timothy V. Eley/ Timothy V Eley Primary Examiner Art Unit 3724

tve